International Journal of Theory and Practice in Education
Vol. 1, No.1 (2026): 1-9
E-ISSN XXXX-XXXX
Available Online at
https://journal.tirtapustaka.com/index.php/ijtpe/index

A Comparative Analysis of Jean Piaget's and Lev Vygotsky's Theories of Cognitive Development in the Perspective of Contemporary Education

Thabo M. Nkosi[1]*, Naledi K. Maseko[2], Sipho Dlamini[3], Ayanda P. Khumalo[4], Zanele R. Mokoena[5]
[1,2,3,4,5] University of Cape Town, South Africa
*Corresponding author: thabo.nkosi@uct-ac.za

Received: February 28, 2026; Approved: April 12, 2026; Published: April 30, 2026

ABSTRACT

This study analyzes the comparative relevance of Jean Piaget's and Lev Vygotsky's cognitive development theories in contemporary educational contexts. Using qualitative comparative analysis and a systematic review of literature from 2020–2024, the study examines epistemological foundations, learning–development relations, instructional implications, and relevance in digital and multicultural education. The findings show that Piaget's constructivist model explains structural cognitive progression, especially abstract reasoning and developmental readiness. Conversely, Vygotsky's sociocultural theory demonstrates strong explanatory power in understanding collaborative learning, scaffolding practices, and digitally mediated interaction. Recent empirical studies suggest that rather than functioning as competing paradigms, both theories offer complementary insights into cognitive development. Contemporary evidence increasingly supports a bidirectional relationship between learning and development, highlighting the dynamic interplay between individual cognitive structuring and socially mediated experience. The study concludes that integrating Piagetian and Vygotskian principles strengthens theoretical foundations for 21st-century education. By synthesizing recent research and critically evaluating theoretical assumptions, the study contributes to the ongoing discourse on how developmental and sociocultural perspectives can inform instructional design, curriculum innovation, and inclusive pedagogical practice in modern educational contexts..
Keyword: Comparative Analysis; Jean Piaget; Lev Vygotsky

INTRODUCTION

The discourse on cognitive development remains central to educational theory, particularly in explaining how learners construct knowledge in complex and technology-mediated contexts. Two foundational figures whose theories continue to shape this discourse are Jean Piaget and Lev Vygotsky. Although both theorists explained children's cognitive development, their epistemological orientations differ substantially. Piaget emphasized biologically driven stages of individual cognitive maturation, whereas Vygotsky foregrounded the sociocultural foundations of learning and the mediating role of social interaction. In contemporary education—marked by collaborative learning, digital platforms, and multicultural classrooms the comparative relevance of these theories requires renewed analysis.

Recent scholarship demonstrates that Piaget's theory of cognitive development continues to inform curriculum design, particularly in relation to developmental readiness and logical reasoning structures. Cerovac and Keane (2024), for instance, examined the applicability of Piaget's stage theory within a technology curriculum context. The sentence is too long and contains too much information. The sentence is overly long and complex. This suggests Piaget's framework explains students' readiness for higher order abstraction in modern technology rich learning environments. However, the study also highlights limitations in strictly stage based interpretations, particularly in classrooms where scaffolding and collaborative discourse accelerate cognitive performance beyond predicted developmental thresholds.

In contrast, contemporary research grounded in Vygotskian theory increasingly

International Journal of Theory and Practice in Education
Vol. 1, No. 1 (2026): 1-9
E-ISSN XXXX-XXXX
Available Online at
https://journal.tirtapustaka.com/index.php/ijesss/index

emphasizes dialogic learning and collaborative reasoning as drivers of cognitive growth. A 2023 study published in Learning and Individual Differences explored how structured argumentation among adolescents enhances critical thinking through socially mediated reasoning processes. The findings support Vygotsky's notion of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), demonstrating that guided peer interaction facilitates cognitive advancement beyond independent capability. Unlike Piaget's maturational emphasis, this perspective positions instruction as an active catalyst for development rather than a response to it.

Furthermore, Stoltz et al. (2024) extended the Piaget–Vygotsky discourse by examining their contributions to contemporary understandings of consciousness in education. Their review suggests that while Piaget conceptualized cognitive structures as internally constructed through equilibration, Vygotsky perceived consciousness as emerging through socially mediated symbolic systems. This distinction becomes significant in digital learning ecosystems where mediation occurs both face-to-face and through technological interfaces. Such findings reveal that Vygotsky's framework may offer stronger explanatory power in analyzing collaborative digital learning environments, while Piaget's model remains influential in understanding individual cognitive structuring.

Comparatively, earlier pre-2020 research often treated Piagetian and Vygotskian theories as competing paradigms rather than complementary frameworks. Many studies focused exclusively on one theoretical lens, applying it descriptively without integrating empirical validation in contemporary educational contexts. For example, earlier literature frequently cited Piaget's stages to justify age-based curriculum sequencing without critically examining variability introduced by sociocultural dynamics. Similarly, Vygotskian applications were often confined to general advocacy for collaborative learning without systematic empirical comparison against developmental stage theory.

More recent investigations, however, suggest a shift toward contextual application and theoretical integration. Veraksa and Pramling Samuelsson (2022) argue that the 21st-century reinterpretation of Piaget and Vygotsky requires moving beyond dichotomous comparisons toward examining how developmental structures and sociocultural mediation intersect in early childhood education. Their edited volume highlights empirical studies demonstrating that while cognitive structures may develop sequentially, social interaction significantly influences the pace and depth of that development. This perspective challenges rigid stage interpretations and emphasizes dynamic interaction between individual maturation and social scaffolding.

Similarly, Singh et al. (2024) investigated home-based learning environments through a framework integrating Piagetian and Vygotskian principles. Their findings indicate that parental scaffolding strategies rooted in Vygotsky's ZPD can enhance children's problem solving abilities, yet these improvements remain constrained by developmental capacities consistent with Piagetian theory. Unlike earlier theoretical discussions, this study empirically tested the interplay between individual cognitive readiness and socially mediated support, offering a more nuanced understanding of how both theories operate simultaneously in real-world contexts.

Despite these advancements, a notable gap persists in comparative analyses that systematically evaluate the explanatory strengths and limitations of both theories within a unified contemporary framework. Much of the recent literature applies either Piaget or Vygotsky independently, particularly within discipline-specific contexts such as technology education or literacy development. Few studies compare their assumptions about learning and development within emerging practices such as blended learning, digital collaboration, and culturally responsive instruction.

This research addresses that gap by conducting a comprehensive comparative analysis of Piaget's and Vygotsky's theories within the

International Journal of Theory and Practice in Education
Vol. 1, No. 1 (2026): 1-9
E-ISSN XXXX-XXXX
Available Online at
https://journal.tirtapustaka.com/index.php/ijesss/index

broader framework of contemporary education. Unlike prior studies focused on single domains or age groups, this study adopts a conceptual-analytical approach to evaluate their relevance across educational settings. Furthermore, while earlier literature often framed the debate as "development precedes learning" (Piaget) versus "learning precedes development" (Vygotsky), this study explores how contemporary research suggests a bidirectional relationship influenced by contextual variables such as technology, cultural diversity, and collaborative pedagogy.

In today's educational climate where students engage in global communication, digital knowledge construction, and interdisciplinary inquiry the need for integrative theoretical perspectives is increasingly evident. Piaget's emphasis on logical structure and cognitive organization remains essential for understanding how learners internalize complex concepts. Simultaneously, Vygotsky's focus on mediation and social interaction provides critical insight into how collaborative and culturally situated experiences shape intellectual growth. By critically examining these frameworks in light of recent empirical research (2020–2024), this study contributes to a more holistic understanding of cognitive development in contemporary educational contexts.

In conclusion, while Piaget's theory offers enduring value in conceptualizing structural cognitive progression, Vygotsky's sociocultural model provides a dynamic lens for analyzing socially mediated learning in modern classrooms. Recent research suggests that rather than viewing these theories as mutually exclusive, contemporary education benefits from recognizing their complementary strengths. This comparative inquiry therefore seeks to clarify their theoretical distinctions, synthesize recent empirical findings, and articulate implications for instructional design in 21st century learning environments.

METHODS

This study employed a qualitative comparative design based on a systematic literature review following structured review procedures and conceptual analysis to examine the theoretical distinctions and contemporary relevance of Piaget's and Vygotsky's cognitive development frameworks. A qualitative interpretative approach was employed to analyze theoretical constructs, epistemological assumptions, and their applications in contemporary education through systematic comparison of conceptual and empirical literature published between 2020 and 2024. Qualitative comparative inquiry is particularly appropriate when the aim is to explore conceptual convergence and divergence between theoretical paradigms (Creswell & Poth, 2018; Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

The research adopted a systematic literature review strategy to ensure methodological rigor and transparency. Systematic reviews are widely recognized as effective approaches for synthesizing scholarly evidence while minimizing bias through explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria (Snyder, 2019). In line with recent methodological recommendations, the review process included structured database searches, eligibility screening, thematic coding, and interpretative synthesis, with selected studies identified through systematic screening procedures (Xiao & Watson, 2019). Although foundational works by Piaget (1972) and Vygotsky (1978) were retained for theoretical grounding, the primary analytical focus was placed on peer-reviewed publications from 2020 to 2024 to capture contemporary reinterpretations and empirical applications of both theories.

Data were collected from indexed academic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, Springer Link, and Science Direct. The literature search procedure does not explain the search strategy in detail. The method does not describe the use of Boolean operators, keyword expansion, or a search string strategy, which are standard components in a systematic review." Inclusion criteria comprised peer-reviewed empirical or theoretical studies published in English between 2020 and 2024 that explicitly examined Piagetian or Vygotskian constructs in contemporary educational contexts. Exclusion criteria included

International Journal of Theory and Practice in Education
Vol. 1, No. 1 (2026): 1-9
E-ISSN XXXX-XXXX
Available Online at
https://journal.tirtapustaka.com/index.php/ijesss/index

non-scholarly publications, opinion essays without empirical or theoretical depth, and studies referencing the theorists only superficially.

The analytical procedure followed three interrelated stages. First, thematic coding was conducted to identify recurring conceptual categories derived from both theoretical traditions. For Piagetian theory, categories included developmental stages, assimilation and accommodation, equilibration, and cognitive readiness. For Vygotskian theory, categories encompassed social mediation, the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), scaffolding, language as a cultural tool, and collaborative learning processes. Thematic analysis was conducted through iterative coding, categorization, and theme development to identify recurring conceptual patterns across the selected literature (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

Second, a comparative analytical matrix was constructed using deductive categories derived from both theoretical traditions to compare their epistemological and pedagogical dimensions: (1) epistemological orientation, (2) relationship between learning and development, (3) role of instruction, (4) sociocultural influences, and (5) applicability to digital and multicultural classrooms. This comparative strategy aligns with interpretative qualitative research methods that seek to illuminate similarities and differences between conceptual systems (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

Third, recent empirical findings were mapped onto this matrix to evaluate how contemporary research supports, refines, or challenges classical theoretical assumptions. For instance, Cerovac and Keane (2024) provided evidence supporting Piagetian developmental progression in technology education, whereas Stoltz et al. (2024) highlighted the enduring relevance of Vygotskian social mediation in modern pedagogical discourse. Similarly, Veraksa and Pramling Samuelsson (2022) demonstrated the importance of reinterpreting both theories within 21st century early childhood education. By systematically integrating such findings, the study ensures that theoretical comparison is grounded in current scholarly evidence rather than solely historical interpretation.

To enhance trustworthiness, the study applied principles of transparency and analytical consistency. Search procedures, inclusion criteria, and coding categories were explicitly documented to allow replicability (Snyder, 2019). Analytical credibility was strengthened through systematic comparison of findings across multiple studies and educational contexts. Reflexivity was also maintained throughout the analysis by acknowledging the philosophical differences between constructivist and sociocultural paradigms while avoiding reductionist conclusions.

Overall, this qualitative comparative methodology provides a rigorous framework for examining the contemporary relevance of Piagetian and Vygotskian theories. By combining systematic review procedures with thematic and comparative analysis, the study offers a comprehensive and theoretically grounded evaluation of cognitive development perspectives in 21st century education.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The analysis of contemporary literature (2020–2024) indicates that Piagetian and Vygotskian frameworks address distinct yet complementary dimensions of cognitive development: Piaget's model explains structural cognitive organization underlying abstract reasoning, whereas Vygotsky's framework more effectively accounts for socially mediated learning processes within collaborative and digitally mediated educational environments. Through systematic thematic analysis of literature published between 2020 and 2024, several significant patterns emerged concerning (1) epistemological foundations, (2) the relationship between learning and development, (3) the role of instruction, (4) sociocultural mediation, and (5) applicability within digital and multicultural educational contexts.

1. Epistemological Foundations: Individual Constructivism vs. Sociocultural Mediation

International Journal of Theory and Practice in Education
Vol. 1, No. 1 (2026): 1-9
E-ISSN XXXX-XXXX
Available Online at
https://journal.tirtapustaka.com/index.php/ijesss/index

The reviewed literature indicates that Piaget's concept of genetic epistemology continues to inform contemporary interpretations of cognitive structuring, particularly in studies examining how learners develop logical abstraction through iterative processes of assimilation and accommodation in technology-rich learning environments. Contemporary studies continue to validate the explanatory power of cognitive restructuring, particularly in domains requiring logical abstraction. For example, Cerovac and Keane (2024) demonstrated that students' engagement with technological design tasks reflects developmental transitions consistent with Piaget's movement toward formal operational reasoning. Their findings suggest that abstract technological problem solving correlates with learners' capacity for hypothetical-deductive reasoning, reinforcing Piaget's claim that cognitive structures evolve progressively.

However, more recent scholarship critiques the rigidity of stage based interpretations. Veraksa and Pramling Samuelsson (2022) argue that contemporary classrooms reveal variability in cognitive performance influenced by cultural interaction and instructional scaffolding. This challenges deterministic readings of Piaget and calls for contextual reinterpretation.

By contrast, Vygotsky's sociocultural epistemology conceptualizes cognition as socially mediated and culturally constructed. Stoltz et al. (2024) emphasize that consciousness and higher mental functions develop through symbolic mediation, particularly language. In digital learning contexts, symbolic mediation extends beyond speech to include multimodal technological tools. Empirical studies on collaborative digital learning platforms demonstrate that structured peer dialogue facilitates the co-construction of knowledge by enabling learners to externalize reasoning processes, negotiate meaning, and refine conceptual understanding beyond what can be achieved through individual cognitive processing alone (Learning and Individual Differences, 2023).

Thus, while Piaget's framework explains structural cognitive maturation, Vygotsky provides a dynamic account of socially mediated transformation. The findings suggest that contemporary education increasingly aligns with sociocultural perspectives due to the collaborative and technology-mediated nature of learning environments.

2. Learning and Development: Sequential vs. Dialectical Relationships

One of the central theoretical distinctions concerns whether development precedes learning (Piaget) or whether learning catalyzes development (Vygotsky). The comparative matrix analysis indicates that contemporary empirical research increasingly supports a bidirectional interpretation.

Cerovac and Keane (2024) found evidence supporting developmental readiness for abstract reasoning tasks, aligning with Piaget's assertion that certain cognitive operations emerge only after structural maturation. However, Singh et al. (2024) demonstrated that guided parental scaffolding within home-based learning contexts significantly enhanced children's problem-solving skills, suggesting that mediated learning accelerates developmental progression.

These findings suggest that cognitive development emerges through dynamic interaction between structural maturation and socially mediated learning processes, where instructional scaffolding can accelerate the activation of cognitive capacities that would otherwise develop more gradually through independent maturation. Rather, development appears responsive to structured interaction within sociocultural contexts. This interpretation aligns with modern integrative scholarship advocating for a dialectical relationship between cognitive structure and social mediation (Veraksa & Pramling Samuelsson, 2022).

3. Role of Instruction and Teacher Mediation

The findings further reveal contrasting implications for instructional design. Piagetian pedagogy emphasizes discovery learning, experiential engagement, and cognitive conflict as catalysts for equilibration. Learners construct knowledge actively through interaction with objects and problems. Contemporary constructivist practices, such as inquiry-based

International Journal of Theory and Practice in Education
Vol. 1, No. 1 (2026): 1-9
E-ISSN XXXX-XXXX
Available Online at
https://journal.tirtapustaka.com/index.php/ijesss/index

STEM instruction, continue to reflect these principles.

However, purely discovery-oriented approaches have been criticized for insufficiently supporting learners who require guided scaffolding. Vygotskian pedagogy, centered on the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), positions the teacher as an active mediator who structures learning through scaffolding strategies. Recent studies in collaborative argumentation (Learning and Individual Differences, 2023) demonstrate that guided discourse enhances reasoning quality beyond what students achieve independently.

The comparative analysis indicates that contemporary pedagogy requires a balanced instructional approach combining learner autonomy with structured pedagogical guidance, where teachers actively scaffold cognitive processes while simultaneously encouraging exploratory learning and conceptual discovery. This challenges extreme interpretations of Piagetian discovery learning and supports a moderated approach integrating cognitive readiness with strategic scaffolding.

4. Application in Digital and Multicultural Learning Environments

Digital transformation alters the applicability of both theories by intensifying opportunities for collaborative knowledge construction while simultaneously increasing cognitive demands associated with navigating complex digital information environment. Piaget's framework remains applicable in explaining how learners conceptualize abstract digital systems and computational logic. Yet it offers limited insight into the collaborative affordances of online platforms.

Vygotsky's theory, conversely, demonstrates strong applicability in analyzing online collaboration, peer feedback systems, and culturally diverse classrooms. Stoltz et al. (2024) emphasize that symbolic mediation now includes digital interfaces, extending Vygotsky's theoretical scope. Digital learning environments exemplify ZPD processes, as students rely on synchronous and asynchronous interaction to co-construct knowledge.

Nevertheless, digital learning also reveals the necessity of cognitive structure for evaluating information critically. Without formal operational reasoning (Piaget), learners may struggle with misinformation and abstract digital concepts. Thus, technological education requires integration of both theoretical lenses.

Comparative Synthesis Table

No.	Dimension	Piagetian Perspective	Vygotskian Perspective	Contemporary Interpretation (2020–2024 Research)
1.	Epistemology	Genetic epistemology; knowledge constructed individually	Sociocultural theory; knowledge co-constructed socially	Integration of cognitive structuring and social mediation
2.	Development & Learning	Development precedes learning	Learning precedes and stimulates development	Bidirectional interaction between structure and mediation
3.	Instruction	Discovery-based; cognitive conflict	Guided scaffolding within ZPD	Structured facilitation balancing autonomy and support
4.	Role of Language	Secondary	Primary mediational	Multimodal digital

International Journal of Theory and Practice in Education
Vol. 1, No. 1 (2026): 1-9
E-ISSN XXXX-XXXX
Available Online at
https://journal.tirtapustaka.com/index.php/ijesss/index

		representational tool	tool	language as mediational system
5.	Digital Context	Explains abstract reasoning development	Explains collaborative digital learning	Complementary explanatory frameworks

5. Critical Evaluation and Theoretical Integration

The comparative findings reveal that neither theory independently accounts for the complexity of contemporary education. Piaget's theory may underestimate the extent to which sociocultural interaction and technological mediation shape cognitive development, particularly in learning environments where knowledge construction occurs through collaborative digital networks rather than solely through individual interaction with physical objects. Conversely, Vygotsky's framework may insufficiently explain constraints imposed by developmental readiness.

Recent scholarship increasingly emphasizes integrative frameworks that conceptualize cognitive development as the product of interaction between internally organized cognitive structures and socially mediated learning processes. Veraksa and Pramling Samuelsson (2022) argue that modern educational research increasingly views cognitive development as simultaneously structured and mediated. Singh et al. (2024) empirically demonstrated that while scaffolding enhances learning outcomes, it remains bounded by developmental capacities.

From a critical perspective, the integration of these theories aligns with constructivist sociocultural hybrid models. Contemporary classrooms demand both internal cognitive organization and collaborative mediation. The rise of interdisciplinary and problem-based learning further necessitates such integration.

Moreover, the findings suggest that digital transformation intensifies the relevance of Vygotskian mediation while reinforcing the need for Piagetian cognitive structure in navigating abstract informational ecosystems. Thus, rather than framing the theories as oppositional, contemporary evidence positions them as complementary explanatory systems addressing distinct but interdependent dimensions of learning.

CONCLUSIONS

This comparative analysis of recent literature (2020–2024) indicates that Piagetian and Vygotskian perspectives illuminate complementary dimensions of cognitive development: Piaget's framework explains the structural organization of reasoning processes, whereas Vygotsky's theory clarifies the sociocultural mechanisms through which those structures evolve within collaborative learning environments. The findings demonstrate that each theoretical tradition explains different dimensions of contemporary learning processes: Piagetian theory clarifies structural cognitive development underlying abstract reasoning, whereas Vygotskian theory more effectively accounts for socially mediated learning processes characteristic of collaborative and technology-mediated education.

The analysis confirms that Piaget's theory remains valuable for explaining the structural development of reasoning processes that support learners' engagement with abstract and higher-order cognitive tasks. Contemporary empirical studies confirm that developmental readiness influences students' ability to engage with higher-order cognitive tasks, particularly in domains such as technology, mathematics, and scientific reasoning. His emphasis on assimilation, accommodation, and equilibration offers enduring insight into how knowledge is internally organized and restructured. However, rigid interpretations of stage theory appear increasingly limited when confronted with

International Journal of Theory and Practice in Education
Vol. 1, No. 1 (2026): 1-9
E-ISSN XXXX-XXXX
Available Online at
https://journal.tirtapustaka.com/index.php/ijesss/index

evidence demonstrating variability influenced by instructional support and sociocultural interaction.

Conversely, Vygotsky's sociocultural theory offers a dynamic and context-sensitive account of cognitive development, emphasizing mediation, language, and collaborative interaction. The expansion of digital learning environments, multicultural classrooms, and dialogic pedagogy strengthens the contemporary relevance of concepts such as the Zone of Proximal Development and scaffolding.

Empirical research highlights how guided interaction and peer collaboration can extend learners' capabilities beyond independent performance. Nevertheless, sociocultural mediation alone does not eliminate developmental constraints, indicating that cognitive structure remains an essential dimension of learning.

The central conclusion emerging from this comparative analysis is that contemporary education benefits from a dialectical integration of both frameworks. Rather than positioning development and learning as sequentially opposed processes, current evidence suggests a reciprocal relationship in which cognitive structures both shape and are shaped by socially mediated experiences. Piaget's structural constructivism explains the organization of thought, while Vygotsky's sociocultural theory clarifies the mechanisms through which that organization is expanded and transformed.

In increasingly complex educational ecosystems characterized by technological mediation, interdisciplinary problem-solving, and global connectivity, educators require theoretical models that account for both individual cognition and collaborative interaction. This study therefore concludes that a complementary application of Piagetian and Vygotskian principles provides a more comprehensive foundation for instructional design, curriculum development, and assessment practices in contemporary learning environments.

REFERENCES

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). Thematic analysis: A practical guide. Sage.

Cerovac, M., & Keane, T. (2024). Early insights into Piaget's cognitive development model through the lens of the technologies curriculum. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.

Erovac, M., & Keane, T. (2024). Early insights into Piaget's cognitive development model through the lens of the technologies curriculum. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*.

Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016*). Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Singh, G. K. S., Singh, P. G., Abdul Rashid, S. I., Ngadni, I., Ramasamy, R., & Balakrishnan, K. (2024). Generating Piaget- and Vygotsky-grounded parents: Home-based approaches to enhance cognitive development among young children. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 13(3).

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 104, 333–339.

Stoltz, T., Weger, U., & da Veiga, M. (2024). Consciousness and education: Contributions by Piaget, Vygotsky, and Steiner. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1411415.

International Journal of Theory and Practice in Education
Vol. 1, No. 1 (2026): 1-9
E-ISSN XXXX-XXXX
Available Online at
https://journal.tirtapustaka.com/index.php/ijesss/index

Veraksa, N., & Pramling Samuelsson, I. (Eds.). (2022). *Piaget and Vygotsky in XXI century: Discourse in early childhood education*. Springer.

Xiao, Y., & Watson, M. (2019). Guidance on conducting a systematic literature review. Journal of Planning Education and Research, 39(1), 93–112.